



Award for Excellence in Human Research Protection
Judges Application Form

Please complete and fax this form to 301-320-0978 (or email to award@hii.org)
Submit resume or brief biographical sketch highlighting relevant qualifications & experience.

Name:
Organization:
Position/Title:
Address:
Telephone: Fax: Email:

- I would like to be a member of the Judges Credentialing Committee (JCC):
I would like to judge the following award categories (check all that apply):
Best Practice Innovation Life-time Achievement

1. Please check the one category that is most applicable:

1.1 Present organization:

- Accrediting organization
Consumer advocacy organization
Drug/device manufacturer
Government/regulatory agency
Healthcare facility (e.g. hospital)
Health plan/insurer
Health trade association (e.g., AHA, BIO, PHARMA)
Health professional association (e.g., AMA, ANA)
Independent consultant/Individual
Law firm
Research performer - center/institute/university
Research sponsor/funder
Voluntary health association (e.g., Red Cross)
Other, please specify below:

1.2 Present role in research enterprise:

- Administrator (of research institution/center)
Consumer/research participant advocate
Consultant to IRB/HRP program
Educator/trainer
HRP compliance officer/auditor
HRP professional/IRB staff
HRP QI/CQI committee member
Investigator/researcher
IRB/ethics committee member
Journal reviewer (ethics/science)
Proposal/study reviewer - ethics
Proposal/study reviewer - science
Public relations/communications professional
Regulator (e.g., government)
Social scientist (who studies the enterprise)
Study administrator/coordinator
Study monitor/auditor/evaluator
Study staff
Surveyor accrediting organization
Other, please specify below:

(Please complete next page...)



2. Research experience

2.1 For how many years have you been actively involved in the human research enterprise (excluding years that you were not fully involved)? _____

2.2 How many of the last 5 years have you been actively involved in the human research enterprise in a role relevant to the protection of people who participate in research studies? _____

2.3 What type of human research have you done, and for how many years (excluding years that you were not fully involved)? *Check all that apply*

- None
- Behavioral research, surveys, etc; _____ years
- Clinical (biomedical) research; _____ years
- Other, specify below; _____ years

3. **Certifications** - Which of the following types of certification (given by a recognized professional body) do you hold? *Check all that apply*

- Investigator
- IRB professional
- Research administrator
- Other relevant certification, specify _____
- None

4. Human research protection (HRP) training

4.1 In the past 5 years, which of the following types of formal training (e.g., regarding federal regulations) have you completed successfully?

- Check all that apply*
- Investigator
 - Institutional official
 - IRB member
 - IRB staff/support
 - Research staff (including, e.g., CRO)
 - Surveyor (for HRP accreditation)
 - Training of trainers
 - Other, specify: _____
 - None

4.2 Have you received formal training in the last 5 years or are you knowledgeable about current federal regulations pertaining to:

- Protecting human subjects of research?
 Training in last 5 yrs Knowledgeable No
- Assuring the integrity of research?
 Training in last 5 yrs Knowledgeable No

5. **Human research protection experience** - In the past 5 years, in which of the following ways have you protected human subjects of research?

- Check all that apply*
- Conflict of interest committee
 - Consumer/participant advocate
 - Consultant to IRB/HRP program
 - Educator/trainer (in HRP)
 - HRP compliance officer/auditor
 - HRP program oversight
 - HRP QI/CQI committee
 - Investigator/researcher
 - IRB/ ethics committee – member
 - IRB/HRP program – staff
 - Journal reviewer (ethics/science)
 - Proposal/study reviewer – ethics
 - Proposal/study reviewer – science
 - Regulator (government)
 - Scientific integrity committee
 - Study administrator/coordinator
 - Study monitor/auditor/evaluator
 - Study staff
 - Surveyor (accrediting organization)
 - Other, specify: _____
 - None

6. **Adverse professional events** - In the last 5 years, have you been, or are you currently, involved with any event that could call into question your suitability to be a judge (e.g., adverse action regarding quality of care, professional conduct, research integrity, etc)?

- Yes; explain below No

7. **Comments** – including any other relevant qualifications or experience, explanations of adverse professional events, etc. *If necessary, attach additional page.*

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Printed name: _____

Award for Excellence in Human Research Protection **Judges Credentialing/Selection Criteria**

Definitions & notes

- *Credentialing criteria* refer to the qualifications and experiences of individuals to be judges. The Judges Credentialing Committee (JCC) assesses applications based on these criteria.
- *Selection criteria* refer to guidelines for forming panels of judges (from among credentialed judges) to evaluate Award applications.
- Separate panels are established to evaluate applications for each category of Award. Multiple panels may be established for the same category of Award if the volume of applications warrants.
- All judges who evaluate applications for an Award must complete a Declaration of Interest Form (DIF) pertaining to each application that they judge.
- In each Award category, judges assess the applicant's contributions to human research protection, select applicants who have demonstrated excellence, and select the year's best contribution, if any, to human research protection to receive the Annual Award.

Credentialing criteria

Documented evidence that the applicant:

- Is actively engaged in the research enterprise in a role relevant to the protection of human subjects of research, e.g., working to assure and/or improve human research protection, and/or the integrity or quality of biomedical and/or behavioral (including psychological) research involving human subjects
- Has at least 5 continuous years experience, or at least 10 years total experience, in the research enterprise in a role relevant to the protection of human subjects
- Possesses thorough knowledge of current federal requirements for protecting human subjects and assuring the integrity of research, including HIPAA, has undergone applicable training regarding these subjects, and, preferably, has experience with Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) or equivalent committees and/or with other human subject protection functions
- Has sufficient specific qualifications and experiences necessary to evaluate applications for one or more of the categories of Award, e.g., for innovation award judging panel, made or contributed to innovation in human research protection
- Does not have, or has had in the past 5 years, any event that might weaken the applicant's suitability to be a judge
- Once credentialed, professional performance as a judge (e.g., prompt and appropriate completion of evaluation of Award applications) permits recredentialing.

Selection criteria

Each panel consists of 3-5 credentialed judges, selected, to the extent possible, to represent relevant diversity with respect to the research enterprise, including, for example:

- Type of organization (e.g., sponsor, research institution, government/regulatory agency, consumer advocacy organization)
- Role (e.g., investigator, IRB member, ethicist, HRP professional)
- Professional background
- Region of the country.